

Journal Style Guidelines

for preparation of English articles for *The History of Economic Thought*

(1) Subdivisions of article

To accord with the style of the Japanese articles in the journal, main (A-level) subheadings should be preceded by Roman numerals with a dot (I., II., III); B-level subheadings should be preceded by Arabic numbers (1., 2., 3.)

(2) Tables and Figures

Tables and figures should be prepared as separate digital files. Their positions should be indicated in the body of the text with a bracketed note.

[insert Table 1 here].

The title of the table or figure should be placed at the bottom, rather than at the top of the graphic, in the style shown below.

Year	Category 1	Category 2	Category 3	%
1925	0000	0000	0000	0.0
1928	0000	0000	0000	0.0

Table 1. Rates of unemployment in the 1920s.

(3) Notes

Notes should be input as “footnotes,” and their positions indicated in the text with a number.

(4) Citation

Sources should be identified by *parenthetical documentation* in the text.

Omissions from original materials in citations should be indicated with a set of three dots (...), and text inserted or changed by authors using square brackets ([]). Citations should be as follows:

- Author mentioned in text:

E. gx.: According to Winch (1987, 105, 107), Malthus said...

- Source citation only:

E.g.: “The plan is not one for giving to everybody something for nothing... that will free the recipients for ever thereafter from personal responsibilities” (Beveridge 1942, 170).

- Source only, more than one source:

E.g.: Malthus said... (Winch 1987, 105; Smith 2006, 10)

(5) References

The list of references (alphabetical order by author) should be placed after the body of the text in the following style:

Henderson, W., and W. J. Samuels. 2004. The Etiology of Adam Smith’s Division of Labor: Alternative Accounts and Smith’s Methodology Applied to Them. In *Essays on the History of Economics*, edited by W. J. Samuels, W. Henderson, K. D. Johnson, and M. Johnson. London and New York: Routledge: 8–89.

Kresge, S., and L. Wenar, eds. 1994. *Hayek on Hayek: An Autobiographic Dialogue*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.

Pullen, J. 1979. Malthus on the Doctrine of Proportions and the Concept of the Optimum. *Australian Economic Papers* 21 (39): 134–54.

Steuart, J. [1767] 1995. *An Inquiry into the Principles of Political Oeconomy*, 2 vols. In *Collected Works of James Steuart*, 7 vols. London: Routledge / Thoemmes.

(6) Abstract

An abstract, with three or so JEL classification numbers, should be provided after the main title and before the body of the text.

(Revised in December 2022)